Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 184337

43

ORIGIN ARA-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66604

DRAFTED BY LA/DR:CJSTOCKMAN, JR.

8/22/74 EXT. 29486

APPROVED BY LA/DR:CJSTOCKMAN, JR.

----- 024471

O 221728Z AUG 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO ALL AMERICAN REPUBLIC DIPLOMATIC POSTS IMMEDIATE

USCINCSO

XMT AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 184337

FOLLOWING SENT SECSTATE WASHDC INFO GENEVA RIO DE JANEIRO FROM BRASILIA 20 AUG 74:

QUOTE C O N F I D E N T I A L BRASILIA 6305

DEPT PASS ALL ARA DIPLOMATIC POSTS

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, TGEN, MFM, UNCTAD, BR

SUBJECT: WORKING GROUP ON SCIENCE AND TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

SUB-GROUP III, REPORT NO. 2

REF: A. BRASILIA 6303; B. SG REPORT NO. 1, SEPTEL

1. SUB-GROUP III WAS CALLED TO ORDER MORNING OF AUGUST 20. FOLLOWING COUNTRIES WERE REPRESENTED: ARGENTINA, CHILE, MEXICO, VENEZUELA, URUGUAY, GUYANA, BOLIVIA, BRAZIL, NICARAGUA AND THE US. PERU AND EL SALVADOR JOINED MEETING IN LATE AFTERNOON. MOST COUNTRIES WERE REPRESENTED BY EMBASSY PERSONNEL AND, BESIDE US, ONLY BRAZIL, MEXICO, VENEZUELA, AND PERU FIELDED SUSBSTANTIVE DELEGATIONS. FIRST ACT OF SG-III WAS TO ELECT VENEZUELAN DELEGATE AS CHAIRMAN, US DELEGATE AS VICE-CHAIRMAN, CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 184337

AND A BRAZILIAN DELEGATE AS RAPPORTEUR.

2. SUB-CHIEF OF BRAZILIAN DELEGATION, FRANK DA COSTA,

LED OFF THE MEETICG SETTING THE GENERAL TONE
AND INDICATING THAT SINCE THE WORK OF THE SG-III
WILL BE DIVIDED INTO TWO PARTS, THE BRASILIA SESSIONS
SHOULD FOCUS ON DEFINING ATTITUDES RATHER THAN DEVELOPING
MECHANISMS. THE PERIOD BETWEEN THE BRASILIA AND
SUBSEQUENT CARACAS MEETING WOULD BE USED TO MORE
CLEARLY DEVELOP THE SUBSTANCE OF TRANSFER MECHANISMS.
HE THEN RAISED THE QUESTION PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED WITH
US DELEGATION AND REPORTED REFTEL B THAT THERE SEEMS
TO BE A DISCREPANCY BETWEEN US POSITION AS DEFINED IN
JUNE BRASILIA MEETING AND THE RECENT US ACTION AT
GENEVA UNCTAD MEETING. DA COSTA ASKED FOR A CLEAR
DEFINITION OF US POSITION INDICATING IF US STANCE AS
DEFINED DURING JUNE MEETING WAS NO LONGER VIABLE,
FURTHER DISCUSSIONS WOULD, IN FACT, BE USELESS.

3. BEFORE REPLYING TO COSTA'S OUESTION, CHIEF OF US DELEGATION, DR. GRANGER, PRESENTED HIS OPENING REMARKS REPORTSD REFTEL A AND THEN STRONGLY ADDRESSED BRAZIL'S CONCERN. DR. GRANGER INDICATED THAT US IS PREPARED TO DISCUSS ANY MECHANISM TO ASSIST THE LAS. US/UNCTAD POSITION WAS IN A DIFFERENT CONTEXT, A FORMAL VOTING ON A SPECIFIC RESOLUTION. AT THAT MEETING. THE US HAD SOME PROBLEMS WITH LANGUAGE OF RESOLUTION AND FELT IT, IN FACT, MIGHT BE COUNTER-PRODUCTIVE. DR. GRANGER STATED FIRMLY THAT THE US POSITION IS TO CONTINUE ALONG THE LINES LAID DOWN DURING JUNE WG MEETING. VENEZUELAN DELEGATE IN CLARIFYING POSITION AGAIN REFERRED TO UNCTAD MEETING AS WELL AS RAISING POINT THAT DURING VISIT TO VENEZUELA. AMBASSADOR EBERLE LEFT IMPRESSION THAT THE US PRIVATE SECTOR RATHER THAN USG HAD SOLE RESPONSIBILITY FOR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER. DR. GRANGER RESPONDED THAT USG INCLUDES LARGE NUMBER OF AGENCIES SENDING REPRESENTA-TIVES ALL OVER THE WORLD WHO MAY PHRASE US VIEWS IN DIFFERENT TERMS BUT THAT THE US HAD NOW DEVELOPED AN INTERDEPARTMENTAL GROUP INCLUDING REPRESENTATIVES CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 184337

FROM THE DEPARTMENTS OF STATE, COMMERCE, AND TREASURY TO STUDY THE SUBJECT OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND THAT THE US POSITION DEVELOPED DURING THE JUNE BRASILIA MEETING WAS AN ACCURATE REFLECTION OF THE US POSITION. THE WORKING GROUP DISCUSSIONS SHOULD CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT FOR THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY BY PRIVATE FIRMS WHICH WOULD ADDRESS MORE EFFECTIVELY THE PROBLEMS OF THE LAS: THE US WISHES TO UNDERSTAND

THE SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES AND CONCERNS AND ACTIONABLE PROPOSALS OF LATIN AMERICA AND JUST HOW THE US CAN WORK TO SATISFY THESE OBJECTIVES.

4. BRAZILIAN DELEGATE, FRANK DA COSTA, WHO PLAYED A CONCILIATORY ROLE AND KEPT MEETING ON COURSE, DESCRIBED ROLE OF PRESENT EXCHANGE AS A MEANS TO SHOW THE US DELEGATION LA CONCERN AND THAT THE US DELEGATION SHOULD CHANNEL SUCH CONCERNS TO ITS GOVERNMENT. WHAT BRAZILIAN DELEGATION WANTED WAS A CONFIRMATION, DIRECTLY FROM WASHINGTON, THAT THE PROGRESSIVE ATTITUDE OF THE US WOULD CONTINUE. HE ASKED FOR A CONCENSUS OF THE OTHER LA DELEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF THIS REQUEST FOR CONFIRMATION.

5. BOTH MEXICO AND ARGENTINA IN BRIEF STATEMENTS SUPPORTED BRAZIL'S PROPOSAL. VENEZUELA THEN ANNOUNCED ITS DESIRE FOR A CONCEPTIONAL DISCUSSION CONCERNING QUOTE US COMPENSATION FOR THE HEAVY COSTS OF TECHNOLOGY THAT THE LAS HAVE HAD TO BEAR IN THE PAST UNQUOTE. COSTA, HOWEVER, QUICKLY LAID THIS TO REST STATING THAT IT WAS NOT NECESSARY TO PROVOKE SUCH A PHILOSOPHICAL DEBATE AND STRESSING THE FACT THAT THE US WOULD BE A BENEFICIARY OF A STRONG LATIN AMERICA AND THAT BOTH SIDES SHARE COMMON INTERESTS. HE REITERATED BRAZIL'S ORIGINAL PROPOSAL CONVEYING THE IDEA THAT IT WAS NOT AN ATTEMPT AT POLARIZATION BUT A CONSTRUCTIVE DESIRE TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM AND CLEAR THE AIR. DR. GRANGER RESPONDED THAT IF HIS PREVIOUS ATTEMPT TO PROVIDE THE NECESSARY ASSURANCES WAS INADEOUATE. WE WOULD OBTAIN A FORMAL CONFIRMATION AND REQUESTED THAT THE BRAZILIAN DELEGATION PREPARE A WRITTEN STATEMENT OF THE QUESTION TO WHICH CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 184337

THE US WOULD ALSO RESPOND IN WRITING.

6. ONLY VENEZUELA DELEGATION INDICATED THAT THEY
HAD PREPARED SOME SORT OF DOCUMENT ADDRESSING
SG-III TERMS OF REFERENCE. AFTERNOON SESSION INVOLVED
DISCUSSION OF VENEZUELAN DOCUMENT, WHICH WAS
LARGELY A GENERAL REVIEW OF PREVIOUS STUDIES. (COPY
POUCHED SEPARATELY.) VENEZUELAN DEL WOUND UP
PROPOSING SG FOCUS ON SPECIFIC ACTION PROJECTS PARTICULARLY IN INFORMATION FIELD. BRAZIL INSISTED
FOCUS SHOULD BE ON PRIORITIES FOR POLITICAL ACTION
BY NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS IN DOMESTIC, REGIONAL AND
INTER-AMERICAN CONTEXTS. PERU SUPPORTED. AFTERNOON
SESSION CLOSED ON STATEMENT BY CHAIRMAN THAT TOMORROW'S
SESSION SHOULD START ITEM BY ITEM REVIEW OF TERMS OF

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

REFERENCE. CRIMMINS UNQUOTE KISSINGER	
CONFIDENTIAL	
NNN	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MEETING PROCEEDINGS, TECHNOLOGICAL EXCHANGES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 22 AUG 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004

Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1974STATE184337
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: CJSTOCKMAN, JR.

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740232-0181

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740826/aaaaavmu.tel Line Count: 170 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN ARA Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: A. BRASILIA 6303; B. SG REPORT NO. 1, , SEPTEL

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: boyleja

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 18 JUN 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18 JUN 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <04 MAR 2003 by boyleja>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: WORKING GROUP ON SCIENCE AND TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY, SUB-GROUP III, REPORT NO. 2

TAGS: PFOR, TGEN, BR, XM, UNCTAD To: ALL POSTS BRASILIA

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005